

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/728,338	12/04/2003	Jonathan S. Yedidia		2055		
75	7590 06/23/2006			EXAMINER		
Patent Department			ALPHONSE, FRITZ			
Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc.			ADT IN IT	DADED 340/DED		
201 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cambridge, MA 02139 2133						
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

··							
	Application No.	<u></u>	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Course	10/728,338	L.D.	YEDIDIA, JONATI	HAN S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	Art Unit				
	Fritz Alphonse		2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to b	MUNICATION  In, may a reply be time  ( (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	ctober 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from considerati						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the o	abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receiv s have been receiv rity documents hav u (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application e been receive )).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 In	terview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	per No(s)/Mail Da		)-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Particularly, as to claims 1 and 21, the symbols "N, q, M and M-k" are not defined in the claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method for decoding sparse transform factor graph code using a soft- input cost function, including a one-time initialization procedure; comprising: constructing a sparse transform factor graph representation of the code; selecting an encoding method consistent with the representation; selecting a message-passing decoder method

consistent with the representation; initializing messages of the selected decoder method according to the soft-input cost function, as claimed in claim 1.

Claims 2-20 would be allowed by virtue of dependency.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2133

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2133

June 20, 2006